

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,314	03/24/2004	Richard Fraczek	406-114 649		
22440	7590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER		
	RACKMAN & REISMA ON AVENUE	JOHNSON, BLAIR M			
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 100160601			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\boldsymbol{x}	
U	

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/809,314		FRACZEK, RICHARD					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Blair M. Jol		3634				
The MAI Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communi	cation appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FO DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO may be available under the provisions of this from the mailing date of this commu- oly specified above is less than thirty (30 oly is specified above, the maximum stath hin the set or extended period for reply we by the Office later than three months after a adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. b) days, a reply tutory period wi will, by statute, o	6(a). In no even within the statute ill apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this coors				
Status									
1) Responsi	ive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>26 Ja</u>	nuary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This actio	on is FINAL . 2	b)□ This	action is no	n-final.					
3) ☐ Since this	s application is in condition f	for allowan	ce except fo	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in	accordance with the practic	e under Ex	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Cla	ims								
4) Claim(s)	1-19 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	<u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
	is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election red	quirement.					
Application Paper	'S								
9)☐ The speci	fication is objected to by the	Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
_	ent drawing sheet(s) including		•			• •			
11)∐ The oath	or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119								
a)	dgment is made of a claim f Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority or rtified copies of the priority or pies of the certified copies or plication from the Internation	documents documents of the priori	have been have been ity documer	received. received in Application	on No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Referen	ices Cited (PTO-892)		4	l) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (P1 osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		!	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PT)	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail		10130100)	•	5) Other:		- ·,			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4,12-14,16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

On page 7, lines 19-20, it is stated: "Moreover, within each set, the teeth are offset from each other." This is not shown or understood.

In claims 16 and 18, the center portion is said to be further spaced from "a longitudinal axis" of the headrail than the lateral portions. This is not disclosed. The center portion is disclosed as being so further spaced than the "back wall 26", page 5. It is further not disclosed where the longitudinal axis is located.

Claims 6-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for "said clutch", line 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,10-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jelic in view of Baville.

Jelic discloses a head rail 12, pulley 58 having offset teeth 66, end cap 20, and shaft 32,34,48. What is not shown are the flexible projections. However, Baville discloses rubber teeth that are yieldable so as to protect the cable contacting the pulley, page 1, lines 54-60. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to modify Jelic to have correspondingly flexible teeth to similarly protect his cord.

Claim 16 is met as best understood in view of the rejection under 112(1) above.

The bottom wall 14 has a central "portion" (the middle area) and lateral "portions"

(between the "middle area" and the side walls). Read another way, a "portion" of wall 14 is the "center portion" and 15,17, are the lateral portions.

The teeth b of Baville are integral (meaning: "essential or necessary for completeness", The American Heritage Dictionary) with the cylindrical wall and define a projection thereon. They define a space that receives the cord and flex when the cord is engaged therewith to form an "interference" fit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jelic.

The head rail is interpreted as above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although the term "cantilever" is normally used to describe a horizontal member, the suspended projection being described as a cantilever is within the a broad definition of this term and is accepted.

Applicant lists several differences between the Jelic-Baville combination: first, the projections are integral with the teeth. Since the projections are part of the teeth, this is met. Second, each tooth has single projection. Each tooth b defines a projection. Third, since the projection is rubber, it moves when contacted by the cord. Fourth, the ribs in Baville clearly generate a serpentine channel, Fig. 3.

Claims 7-9,15 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 5/5/05